



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FOURTH SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 9580/15
Sergiy Vasylyovych KAZACHENKO against Russia
and 9 other applications
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Fourth Section), sitting on 1 October 2026 as a Committee composed of:

Lorraine Schembri Orland, *President*,

Faris Vehabović,

Anne Louise Bormann, *judges*,

and Uğur Erdal, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar*,

Having regard to the above applications lodged on the various dates indicated in the appended table,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The list of the applicants is set out in the appendix.

The applications originate from the conflict between Ukraine and the Russian Federation following Russia's occupation of Crimea and subsequent extension of Russian law and institutions to the peninsula in 2014.

The applicants' various complaints under the Convention were communicated to the Russian Government and, in applications nos. 9580/15 and 50445/16, also to the Ukrainian Government.

The Russian Government did not submit observations. In applications nos. 9580/15 and 50445/16, the Ukrainian Government submitted observations on 31 July 2025 and 5 May 2023 respectively. The applicants were then invited to submit observations in reply, together with any claims for just satisfaction (see the appended table).

Subsequently, by letters sent through the Court's Electronic Communication Service (eComms) or by registered post, the applicants were informed that the time-limit for submitting their observations had expired and that no request for an extension had been received. Their attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may

strike an application out of its list of cases if the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue it.

The applicants received those letters on the dates indicated in the appended table. In application no. 9580/15, by a letter of 1 December 2025, Mr Kazachenko informed the Court that he no longer wished to pursue his application. No reply was received from the remaining applicants.

THE LAW

Having regard to the similar procedural conduct of the applicants, the Court finds it appropriate to examine the applications jointly in a single decision.

In the light of the foregoing, the Court concludes that the applicants may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue their applications within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, it finds no special circumstances regarding respect for the rights guaranteed by the Convention and the Protocols thereto which require the continued examination of the applications.

Accordingly, the applications should be struck out of the Court's list of cases.

The Court reiterates that, under Article 37 § 2 of the Convention, it may decide to restore an application to its list of cases where the circumstances so justify.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to join the applications;

Decides to strike the applications out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 22 October 2026.

Uğur Erdal
Acting Deputy Registrar

Lorraine Schembri Orland
President

KAZACHENKO v. RUSSIA AND UKRAINE AND OTHER APPLICATIONS DECISION

APPENDIX
List of applications:

No.	Application no. Date of introduction Case name	Applicant Year of Birth Place of Residence Nationality	Representative's name	Main complaints raised	Date of notification of the application to the Respondent Government(s)	Date of the Court's letter inviting the applicants to submit their observations	Date of the last Court's letter
1.	9580/15 12/02/2015 Kazachenko v. Russia and Ukraine	Sergiy Vasylyovych KAZACHENKO 1962 Simferopol Ukrainian	Oleksandr Vadymovych ZARUTSKYY	Art. 5 (1) – unlawful detention.	10/04/2025	14/08/2025 (by eComms – downloaded by the applicant's representative on 03/09/2025)	n/a By a letter of 01/12/2025, the applicant informed the Court that he had lost interest in pursuing his application.
2.	19689/16 08/04/2016 Kononenko v. Russia	Lyudmila Ivanovna KONONENKO 1957 Feodosiia Russian		Art.1 Prot.1– peaceful enjoyment of possessions.	02/07/2025	27/10/2025 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)	20/04/2026 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)
3.	50445/16 12/08/2016 Voronova v. Russia and Ukraine	Valentyna Dmytrivna VORONOVA 1949 Kharkiv Ukrainian		Art. 6 (1) - non- enforcement of judgments; Art.1 Prot.1 – peaceful enjoyment of possessions; Art. 13 – lack of effective remedy in respect of non-enforcement of domestic judgments.	18/11/2022	22/02/2023 (by eComms – downloaded by the applicant's representative, Mr A. Kristenko, on 22/02/2023)	23/10/2025 (by regular post – returned undelivered) By a letter of 26/09/2023, Mr A. Kristenko informed the Court that he had lost contact with the applicant after 24/02/2022 and no longer represented her before the Court.
4.	24258/17 20/03/2017	Ali Asan OZENBASH 1954		Art. 6 (1) – tribunal established by law;	10/10/2025	09/12/2025	27/04/2026

KAZACHENKO v. RUSSIA AND UKRAINE AND OTHER APPLICATIONS DECISION

No.	Application no. Date of introduction Case name	Applicant Year of Birth Place of Residence Nationality	Representative's name	Main complaints raised	Date of notification of the application to the Respondent Government(s)	Date of the Court's letter inviting the applicants to submit their observations	Date of the last Court's letter
	Ozenbash v. Russia	Kyiv Ukrainian		Art. 7 (1) – retroactivity of criminal offence; Art. 8 (1) – respect for private life; Art. 11 (1) – freedom of association; Art. 13 – lack of effective remedy; Art. 14 – prohibition of discrimination; Art. 18 - application of restrictions only for prescribed purposes; Art.2 Prot.4 – liberty of movement.		(by eComms – downloaded on 09/12/2025)	(by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)
5.	35895/17 08/05/2017 Grelyus v. Russia	Anatoliy Vladimirovich GRELYUS 1959 Beregovoye Russian		Art. 6 (1) - non-enforcement of judgments; Art.1 Prot.1– peaceful enjoyment of possessions; Art. 13 – lack of effective remedy in respect of	11/09/2025	27/10/2025 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)	22/04/2026 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)

KAZACHENKO v. RUSSIA AND UKRAINE AND OTHER APPLICATIONS DECISION

No.	Application no. Date of introduction Case name	Applicant Year of Birth Place of Residence Nationality	Representative's name	Main complaints raised	Date of notification of the application to the Respondent Government(s)	Date of the Court's letter inviting the applicants to submit their observations	Date of the last Court's letter
				non-enforcement of domestic judgments.			
6.	26924/20 18/04/2020 Krivenko v. Russia	Anatoliy Sergeyevich KRIVENKO 1941 Yalta Russian, Ukrainian	Aleksandr Vladimirovich LESOVOY	Art. 3 – inhuman or degrading treatment; Art.1 Prot.1– peaceful enjoyment of possessions; Art. 13 – lack of effective remedy in respect of peaceful enjoyment of possessions; Art. 14 – prohibition of discrimination.	02/07/2025	07/08/2025 (by eComms – downloaded by the applicant's representative on 01/09/2025)	04/12/2025 (by eComms – downloaded on 17/03/2026)
7.	27701/21 20/04/2021 Dzhatuyev v. Russia	Ruslan Rashidovich DZHATUYEV 1979 Simferopol Russian		Art.1 Prot.1– peaceful enjoyment of possessions; Art. 14 – prohibition of discrimination.	02/07/2025	07/08/2025 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)	04/12/2025 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)
8.	53080/21 29/10/2021 Khmilevskaya v. Russia	Alisa Aleksandrovna KHMILEVSKAYA 2019 Malovidnoye Russian	Sergey Petrovich MARYUSHIN	Art. 2 (1) - medical negligence; Art. 3 - inhuman or degrading treatment; Art. 6 (1) - non- enforcement of judgments.	05/12/2025	20/01/2026 (by eComms – downloaded by the applicant's representative on 20/01/2026)	19/05/2026 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)

KAZACHENKO v. RUSSIA AND UKRAINE AND OTHER APPLICATIONS DECISION

No.	Application no. Date of introduction Case name	Applicant Year of Birth Place of Residence Nationality	Representative's name	Main complaints raised	Date of notification of the application to the Respondent Government(s)	Date of the Court's letter inviting the applicants to submit their observations	Date of the last Court's letter
9.	30142/23 29/10/2021 Ozenbash v. Russia	Ali Asan OZENBASH 1954 Kyiv Ukrainian		Art.1 Prot.1– peaceful enjoyment of possessions.	11/09/2025	27/10/2025 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)	22/04/2026 (by eComms – the letter was not downloaded)
10.	27071/25 13/03/2017 Shevkiyev v. Russia	Ryza Fevziyovych SHEVKIYEV 1954 Kyiv Ukrainian		Art. 6 (1) – tribunal established by law; Art. 7 (1) – retroactivity of criminal offence; Art. 8 (1) – respect for private life; Art. 11 (1) – freedom of association; Art. 13 – lack of effective remedy; Art. 14 – prohibition of discrimination; Art. 18 - application of restrictions only for prescribed purposes; Art.2 Prot.4– liberty of movement.	07/10/2025	07/11/2025 (by eComms – downloaded on 10/11/2025)	22/04/2026 (by eComms – downloaded on 22/04/2026)